



# Research Brief

## Online Learning: Benefits and Barriers

### What does online learning look like?

Today's student is presented with a wide array of online technologies for accessing educational material and a diverse selection of settings in which to utilize them. The technological tools employed in online learning are constantly evolving, and include both synchronous and asynchronous communication outlets, as well as online web portals, blogs, web chat, email, video lectures, and more.

Of these **technology-enhanced** settings, blended/hybrid classrooms and distance learning are increasingly used <sup>2,3,11</sup>. In *distance learning*, students receive the entirety of their instruction online. In *blended/hybrid* courses, students access educational content in both a traditional brick and mortar classroom and online settings. In addition to blended/hybrid classes, many traditional classrooms supplement instruction with online learning components.

### Where is online learning happening?

- ⊗ About 90% of colleges offer distance-learning opportunities. <sup>1</sup>
- ⊗ Around 75% of K-12 schools offer blended-learning programs. <sup>5</sup>
- ⊗ High schools are increasingly offering distance education opportunities. <sup>2, 3, 5, 7</sup>
- ⊗ Online learning is already a prerequisite for graduation in 5 states. <sup>7</sup>
- ⊗ Online learning is increasingly used in deaf education settings, particularly for specialized programs (i.e., teacher training and science education). <sup>6, 13</sup>

*"Online learning is increasingly used in deaf education settings."*



### Who are the students participating in online learning?

- ⊗ In 2001-2011, 46% of college graduates had taken a class online. <sup>11</sup>
- ⊗ 4-15% of college students complete their degree entirely online. <sup>3, 11</sup>
- ⊗ In 2011-2012, more than 4 million K-12 students were learning online. <sup>7</sup>
- ⊗ College students who are older, have full time jobs, spouses, or dependents are more likely to enroll in distance learning. <sup>12</sup>
- ⊗ Online learning may be particularly beneficial for rural and/or gifted deaf learners. <sup>4</sup>

### What do deaf students experience in online learning?

- ⦿ Increased quantity and quality interactions with professors and peers.<sup>9, 10</sup>
- ⦿ Greater access to course content.<sup>9, 14</sup>
- ⦿ Higher comprehension, learning outcomes, and grades.<sup>6, 8, 14</sup>
- ⦿ Communication difficulties related to classroom accommodations can be avoided.<sup>10</sup>

*Online learning is often said to “level the playing field” for deaf students.*

### What role does internet chat play in online learning for deaf students?

- ⦿ Increased interaction leads to academic gains for both deaf and hearing students.<sup>8</sup>
- ⦿ Deaf students report feeling that online chat “levels the playing field” for them.<sup>8, 9</sup>
- ⦿ Even deaf students with lower literacy report positive experiences with online chat.<sup>8, 9</sup>

### What are things to be mindful of when designing online learning content?

- ⦿ Interaction, feedback, and multimodal instruction are all crucial to successful learning.
- ⦿ Accessibility is lost when online learning courses utilize audio content without captions or transcripts, services reliant on voice communication, and/or exclusively English content without ASL translations of text and audio content.
- ⦿ Visual demands should be minimized. Multitasking demands are higher on deaf students, as they must attend to video representation of audio content in addition to attending to the same visual information hearing students are presented with.<sup>15</sup>
- ⦿ While hearing students can attend to multiple sources of auditory and visual stimuli simultaneously, deaf students must switch between sources consecutively, which often leads to missed information when content is presented concurrently.<sup>16</sup>
- ⦿ Lags in internet connection can disrupt reception of information. All video representations of the audio stream should be rewindable and accessible without time limit.
- ⦿ Over-video captions are preferable for non-technical content, while full transcripts are preferable for technical content.<sup>17</sup>

## References

- <sup>1</sup> Akanegbu, A. (2012). 50 striking statistics about distance learning in higher education: The physical classroom is becoming a distant memory for some students. *Edtech Magazine*. Retrieved from <http://www.edtechmagazine.com/higher/article//2012/07/50-striking-statistics-about-distance-learning-higher-education>
- <sup>2</sup> Allen, I. E., & Seaman, J. (2011). *Going the distance: Online education in the united states, 2011*. Babson Survey Research Group.
- <sup>3</sup> Aud, S., Hussar, W., Kena, G., Bianco, K., Frohlich, L., Kemp, J., & Tahan, K. (2011). *The condition of education, 2011* [NCES 2012-045] (NCES 2012-045). Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics.
- <sup>4</sup> Belcastro, F. P. (2004). Rural gifted students who are deaf or hard of hearing: How electronic technology can help. *American Annals of the Deaf*, 149(4), 309-313.
- <sup>16</sup> Cavender, A.C., Bigham, J.P. & Ladner, R.E. (2009, October). ClassInFocus: Enabling improved visual attention strategies for deaf and hard of hearing students. In *Proceedings of the 11<sup>th</sup> international ACM SIGACCESS conference on computers and accessibility* (pp. 67-74)
- <sup>5</sup> Education & the Workforce Committee. (2013). *Did you know? 10 fast facts on school choice*. Retrieved from <http://edworkforce.house.gov/news/documentsingle.aspx?DocumentID=318240>
- <sup>17</sup> Kushalnagar, R.S., Lasecki, W.S. & Bigham, J.P. (2012, October). A readability evaluation of real-time crowd captions in the classroom. In *Proceedings of the 11<sup>th</sup> international ACM SIGACCESS conference on computers and accessibility*, (pp. 67-74). ACM.
- <sup>6</sup> Lang, H. G., & Steely, D. (2003). Web-based science instruction for deaf students: What research says to the teacher. *Instructional Science*, 31, 277-298.
- <sup>7</sup> Lepi, K. (2013). The teacher's quick guide to blended learning. *Edudemic*. Retrieved from <http://www.edudemic.com/blended-learning-guide/>
- <sup>8</sup> Long, G. L., Marchetti, C., & Fasse, R. (2011). The importance of interaction for academic success in online courses with hearing, deaf, and hard-of-hearing students. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning*, 12(6), 1-19.
- <sup>9</sup> Long, G. L., Vignare, K., Rappold, R. P., & Mallory, J. (2007). Access to communication for deaf, hard-of-hearing and ESL students in blended learning courses. *International Review of Research in Open & Distance Learning*, 8(3).
- <sup>10</sup> Luetke, B. (2009). Evaluating Deaf education Web-based course work. *American annals of the Deaf*, 154(1), 62-70.
- <sup>11</sup> Parker, K., Lernhart, A., & Moore, K. (2011). The digital revolution: Main report. *Pew Research Internet Project*. Retrieved from: <http://www.pewinternet.org/2011/08/28/main-report-17/>
- <sup>12</sup> Radford, A. W. (2012). Learning at a distance: Undergraduate enrollment in distance education courses and degree programs. *National Center for Education Statistics. Statistics in Brief. NCES*, 154.
- <sup>15</sup> Silke, S.B., Berman, P.D., Kline, T., Rebilas, K. & Bosch, E. (2008). Providing online course opportunities for learners who are deaf, hard-of-hearing, or hearing. *American Annals of the Deaf*, 153(3), 304-308.
- <sup>13</sup> Smith, C., & Allman, T. (2010). Meeting the challenges of deaf education teacher preparation: Innovative practices in online learning. *Journal of Online Learning and Teaching*, 6, 523-532.
- <sup>14</sup> Wang, Q. (2006). Blending electronic and classroom teaching to support deaf and hard-of-hearing college students. In *World Conference on E-Learning in Corporate, Government, Healthcare, and Higher Education* (Vol. 2006, No. 1, pp. 2471-2478).

