### **Project GOAL Fidelity Cover Page**

TIME OF OBSERVATION hours minutes	FIDELITY SCORE/36
-----------------------------------	-------------------

Attach the previous Student Progress Check weekly/cycle sheet with the respective Daily Monitor Log of Interventions and Field Notes forms.

IA. AUTELETICE VI CHECKII'Z MUUEITI DATA	rence of Checking Student D	ata
--	-----------------------------	-----

- 1. Advisor checked student data to determine status for all students (weekly progress check) \_\_\_\_yes \_\_ no
- 2. Advisor planned targeted interventions that address each risk area for all intensive intervention and basic intervention students \_\_\_\_\_yes \_\_\_ no

#### ADHERENCE OF CHECKING: FIDELITY SCORE

#### **IB.** Adherence of Connecting

- 1. Advisor mapped a plan to implement the targeted interventions—minimum of one per period (daily plan) \_\_\_\_\_ yes \_\_\_ no
- Advisor implemented the daily plan or diverged for valid reasons (daily plan)
   yes \_\_\_\_no
- Advisor logged the intervention components/dosage students received (daily field notes)
   yes \_\_\_\_ no

#### ADHERENCE OF CONNECTING: FIDELITY SCORE

II. Implementation Quality of Project GOAL: Overall Scores	
1. Advisor's performance indicator implementation quality	/24
2. Advisor's global observation implementation quality	/7

#### IMPLEMENTATION QUALITY OF CONNECTING: FIDELITY SCORE

Measure the following prior to the fidelity observation to determine whether students are receiving the expected dosage to date or per identified timelines (i.e., semester, cycle, month, week). Or measure the following at the end of the year to determine the amount of intervention students have received.

III. Exposure/Dosage			
Connect commitment			
Connect conversation			
Home connection			
College and career exploration			
GOAL session			
Motivation			
EXPOSURE/DOSAGE OF PROJECT GOAL: FIDELITY SCORE	/XX		

2

13

/31

	Descriptive Information				
	Advisor:	Site:			
	Veekday: Observer:	District:	1		
Pe	eriod(s): Length of observation:	Observati	on round:		
	Performance Indicators	_	_		
	Implementation of Project GOAL	Imp	lementati	ion Qual	ity
	Seeking information (checking, connecting, home connection)	4	3	2	1
	<ul> <li>Information is actively sought from teachers, staff members, parents,</li> </ul>	4	3	L	1
	and/or students to enhance interpretation of student data.	Notes			
	• Definition: Discussing student issues, asking questions, listening, collaborating				
	on student issues, and investigating to determine underlying student issues				
	• Example: Talking with a student, parent, or staff member regarding students'				
	attendance, behavior, and grades				
Daily	<ul> <li>Connecting (commitment)</li> <li>Interactions are personalized and informed.</li> <li>Definition: Talking with a student before, during, and after classes, observing a student in and outside of the classroom, and demonstrating knowledge of a student's current attendance, tardies, referrals, and suspensions to inform and personalize the student connection</li> <li>Example: Saying "Good morning; it's nice to see you today" to a student in the hallway</li> </ul>	4 Notes	3	2	1
	<ul><li>Connecting (conversations)</li><li>Conversations are targeted and individualized.</li></ul>	4	3	2	1
	<ul> <li>Definition: Engaging in a targeted, directed conversation that typically concludes with specific next steps for a student to follow, which may include problem solving of academic or behavioral issues, discussing a student's personal concerns, or providing instructional support</li> <li>Example: Strategizing with a student to arrive to school on time or to attend tutorials for a failing class</li> </ul>	Notes			

2

# Fidelity of Daily Implementation Form

<ul> <li>Maintaining relationships (connecting, home connections)</li> <li>Relationships are positive and professional.</li> <li>Definition: Establishing and continuing positive and professional relationships with students, parents, and staff members to achieve the students' goals and overall success</li> <li>Example: Modeling appropriate interaction by demonstrating how to ask a teacher for help</li> </ul>	4 Notes		3	2	1
Providing reinforcements (motivation)					
<ul> <li>Reinforcements and incentives are positive.</li> </ul>	4		3	2	1
<ul> <li>Definition: Recognizing a student for responding in an acceptable, expected way or acknowledging a student's small or large accomplishment by providing a verbal or tangible reward to encourage good decision making</li> <li>Example: Awarding a student a PEEPS point for assisting a peer with meeting a goal or awarding a student a pencil for raising a test grade in algebra</li> </ul>	Notes				
Problem solving (checking, connecting, home connection, college and career	4		3	2	1
<ul> <li>exploration)</li> <li>Problem solving directly supports a student's academic, behavioral, and personal success.</li> </ul>	-		5	2	1
<ul> <li>Definition: Discussing student issues and problem solving for student needs and concerns, including modeling how to advocate for one's own success</li> <li>Example: Modeling how a student could problem solve with a teacher on how to raise a grade</li> </ul>	Notes				
Global Observation					
Global Implementation of Project GOAL	High	n Quali	ity	Low (	Quality
Overall, this advisor's implementation is:	7	6	5 4		2 1
Notes					

Т

ω

### **Directions and Operational Definitions for Daily Fidelity**

- Review the weekly progress check to measure whether the advisor checked the student data to determine the risk status for ALL students on his or her caseload.
- Review the weekly progress check to measure whether the advisor planned targeted interventions for all intensive intervention and basic intervention students.
- Complete the available information in the Descriptive Information section of the Implementation Quality of Connecting fidelity measure (e.g., date, advisor's first and last name, school site).
- Review the daily plan to measure whether the advisor mapped a plan to implement the targeted interventions.
- Make available the weekly progress check with the respective daily field notes and the daily plan.
- Measure whether the advisor implemented the daily plan or diverged from the plan for valid reasons.
- Review the daily field notes to measure whether the advisor logged the intervention components and dosage students received.
- Based on the full-day observation of implementing Project GOAL, measure the overall quality of each of the six performance indicators on the Implementation Quality of Connecting fidelity measure (see the Performance Indicator Implementation Quality table below).
- Based on the full-day observation of implementing Project GOAL, measure the global quality of the advisor's Implementation Quality of Connecting fidelity measure (see the Global Observation Implementation Quality table below).
- Complete the Cover Page and the remaining information in the Descriptive Information section (e.g., length of observation, periods).

### **Performance Indicator Implementation Quality**

Rate the extent to which the performance indicator was implemented during the fidelity observation.

<b>Observed</b> , Excellent (4)	The performance indicator is observed and is the expected, model		
	behavior of a Project GOAL advisor.		
<b>Observed</b> , Adequate (3)	The performance indicator is observed, yet it needs improvement.		
	See notes.		
Observed, Weak (2)	) The performance indicator is observed, yet it needs significant		
	improvement. See notes.		
Not Observed (1)	The performance indicator is not observed. See Advisor Daily		
	Plan for Fidelity to indicate whether the reason was valid.		

## **Global Observation Implementation Quality**

Use the following definitions to determine the quality of the global observation item.

High (6–7)	Very high fidelity with few areas of improvement. Nearly all of the performance indicators are implemented. –OR– Few performance indicators are observed because valid, immediate issue(s) required attention.
Average (3–5)	Moderate fidelity with many areas of improvement. Only some of the performance indicators are implemented, and those are ineffectively implemented because of attention given to invalid issues.
Low (1–2)	Low fidelity with significant areas of improvement. Few performance indicators are implemented. –OR– Few performance indicators are observed because of invalid issues.